

EXPLORE

ASPECT	PERFORMANCE QUALITY			SCORE
Responses to Written Requirements	There is a minimal connection between the response and the references.	There is some supporting connection between the response and the references.	There is a strong, contextualized connection between the response and the references.	
	1	2	3	
	The innovation's name and intended purpose are unclear or incomplete.	The innovation's name and intended purpose are adequately identified.	The innovation's name and intended purpose are described with clear, rich specificity.	
	1	2	3	
	The report offers minimal technical details or explanations of the role computing plays in the innovation. An inexperienced user would find it difficult to understand the innovation.	The report offers sufficient technical details and explanations of the role computing plays in the innovation. An inexperienced user would find the innovation understandable.	The report offers a wealth of technical details, supported by strong explanations of the role computing plays in the innovation. An inexperienced user would find the innovation understandable and significant.	
	1	2	3	
	Data and the innovation are discussed independently in the report.	The report draws a connection between data and the innovation.	The report demonstrates an analysis of data that is clearly and meaningfully connected to the innovation.	
	1	2	3	
	The report identifies a population and minimally or partially identifies effects on that population.	The report identifies a population and generally identifies significant effects on a population.	The report fully identifies a population and precisely describes significant effects on a population.	
	1	2	3	
The report loosely connects the innovation to its long- and short-term impacts or its beneficial and harmful effects.	The report presents an analysis of the computing innovation and identifies its long- and short-term impacts, and its beneficial and harmful effects, of the innovation.	The report presents a rich analysis of the computing innovation and describes in detail its long- and short-term impacts, and its beneficial and harmful effects, of the innovation.		
1	2	3		

Visual Artifact (and Written Summary)	The visual artifact provides little insight into the potential beneficial or harmful effects of the innovation.	The visual artifact provides some insight by explaining the potential beneficial or harmful effects of the innovation. The artifact demonstrates creativity.	The visual artifact provides deep insight by depicting and clarifying the potential beneficial or harmful effects of the innovation. The artifact demonstrates a high level of creativity.	
	1	2	3	
	The summary identifies a potential beneficial or harmful effect of the innovation with no connection to the artifact.	The summary identifies a potential beneficial or harmful effect of the innovation with some connection to the artifact.	The summary, through detailed description, demonstrates a strong connection between the potential beneficial or harmful effect of the innovation and the artifact.	
	1	2	3	
References	There are at least two references, but the references contain many errors, omissions, or are of questionable reliability and timeliness.	There are at least two references, and those references contain required information but all are not reliable, accessible, and/or recent.	There are at least two references, and all references contain required information. All are reliable, easily accessible, and recent.	
	1	2	3	