









Digital Signatures - How it Works

Signature scheme consists of three algorithms:

- Generate keypair: Given keylength (security param) gives (PU,PR)
- Sign: Takes message M and PR, and produces signature sig ٠
- Verify: Takes M, PU, and sig, and outputs true (verified) or false ٠

Like public key encryption, sign/verify operations are slow!

- So don't run entire (possibly long) message through functions
- First hash, then sign H(M)

Is this combination secure? Yes! Why: Assume adversary knows valid sigs $(M_1, sig_1), (M_2, sig_2), \dots, (M_n, sig_n)$ and can find a forgery (M, sig).

- If $H(M) = H(M_i)$ for some $M_i \rightarrow$ found a collision in H, should be impossible!
- If $H(M) \neq H(M_i)$ for all $M_i \rightarrow$ then (H(M), sig) is a forger for sig scheme

Digital Signatures - Security Model

A^S(PU)

// Arbitrary precomputation while (not done): m = // compute query message s = S(m) Known = Known U (m,s) // More computing
(m', s') = // compute claimed forgery
Return (m', s')

Adversary wins if there is no pair (m', x) in Known and Verify(m', s') = true

- Note
- Adversary picks oracle query messages, and can adapt as it learns · That makes this an "adaptive chosen message" attack
- · Any valid signature wins only restriction is that m' hasn't been queried That makes this an "existential forgery attack"

Security is Existentially Unforgeable under Adaptive Chosen Message Attack (EUF-CMA)

ElGamal

As in Diffie-Hellman, let p be a prime and g be a primitive root

Note similarity to

Diffie-Hellman

Key Generation

- 1. Pick random $PR \in \{2, ..., p-1\}$ 2. Compute $PU = g^{PR} \mod p$
- 3. Private (signing) key is PR ; Public (verification) key is PU

Signing a message M

- 1. Pick random $k \in \{2, ..., p-1\}$ that is relative prime to (p-1)
- 2. Compute $r = g^k \mod p$
- Compute k⁻¹ mod (p-1)
- Compute $s = k^{-1}$ ($H(M) PR^*r$) mod (p-1) 4.
- 5. Signature is the pair (r,s)

Verifying a signature (r,s) on message M: 1. Check if $g^{H(M)} \equiv PU^{r*} f^{s} \pmod{p}$ [accept if true, reject if false]



Why does this work for valid sigs?

<u>Important math fact</u>: If $x \equiv y \pmod{p-1}$ then $a^x \equiv a^y \pmod{p}$.

<u>Proof</u>: If $x \equiv y \pmod{p-1}$ then there exists a k such that $x-y = k^*(p-1)$, so $x = k^*(p-1)$ $k^{*}(p-1)+y$. Then $a^{x} = a^{k^{*}(p-1)+y} = a^{k^{*}(p-1)*}a^{y} = (a^{p-1})^{k*}a^{y}$. By Fermat's Little Theorem, we know that $a^{p-1} \mod p = 1$, so $(a^{p-1})^{k*}a^{y} \mod p = a^{y}$. Therefore $a^{x} \equiv a^{y} \pmod{p}$.

What this means: To simplify aformula, can simplify formula mod (p-1).

Applying this to ElGamal formulas:

 $PU = g^{PR} \mod p$ $s = k^{-1} (H(M) - PR^*r) \mod (p-1)$

Consider $PU^{r*}r^s \equiv g^{PR*r}g^{k*s} \equiv g^{PR*r+k*s} \pmod{p}$, and simplify exponent mod (p-1): $PR^*r + k^*s \equiv PR^*r + k^*k^1 (\mathsf{H}(M) - PR^*r) \equiv PR^*r + \mathsf{H}(M) - PR^*r \equiv \mathsf{H}(M) \bmod (p-1)$

Therefore, $PU^r * r^s \equiv g^{H(M)} \pmod{p}$

DSA - Digital Signature Algorithm

Compared to ElGamal

ElGamal

Let q = p-1

- <u>Key Generation</u> 1. Pick random $PR \in \{2, ..., q\}$ 2.
- Compute $PU = g^{PR} \mod p$ Private key is *PR*; Public key is *PU* 3.

Signing a message M

- Pick rand $k \in \{2, ..., q\}$ with 1 gcd(k,q)=1
- Compute $r = g^k \mod p$ Compute $k^1 \mod q$ 2
- 3. 4
- Compute $s = k^1 (H(M) PR^*r) \mod q$ 5. Signature is the pair (r.s)

Verifying signature (r,s) on message M: Check if $g^{H(M)} \equiv PU^r * r^s \pmod{p}$ 1.

q is prime such that q|p-1, and let g be a value with order $q [g^q \equiv 1 \pmod{q}]$

<u>DSA</u>

<u>Key Generation</u> 1. Pick random $PR \in \{2, ..., q\}$

2. Compute $PU = g^{PR} \mod p$ 3. Private key is *PR*; Public key is *PU*

- Signing a message M 1. Pick rand $k \in \{2, ..., q-1\}$ 2. Compute $r = (g^k \mod p) \mod q$
- Compute $k^1 \mod q$ Compute $s = k^1 (H(M) + PR^*r) \mod q$ 3. 4.
- 5. Signature is the pair (r,s)

Verifying signature (r,s) on message M:

- 1. Compute $w = s^{-1} \mod q$ 2. Check if $r \equiv (PU^{r'w} * g^{H(M)^*w} \mod p) \mod q$

DSA - The Digital Signature Algorithm History, Parameters, etc.

One component of NIST's Digital Signature Standard (DSS)

- DSS was adopted in 1993
- DSA dates back to 1991
- One goal: Only support integrity not confidentiality ٠
 - Why? Export restrictions!
 - · Alternative signature scheme: RSA also an encryption algorithm

Key and Parameter Sizes:

- ElGamal is similar to Diffie-Hellman modulus size (N = number of bits)
 1024-bit p was OK in 1990s now suggest 2048-bit or 3072-bit
 Signature two N-bit values (e.g., two 1024-bit values)
- DSA uses a computationally-hard subgroup
 - In 1990's q was 160 bits (matching SHA1!) 0
 - Signature was then two 160-bit values (more compact than ElGamal)
 - Now suggest q being 256 bits

Reminder - RSA Algorithm From Public Key Encryption chapter

Key Generation: Pick two large primes p and q Calculate $n-p^*q$ and $\phi(n)=(p-1)^*(q-1)$ Pick a random e such that $gcd(e, \phi(n))$ Compute $d = e^{-1} \pmod{\phi(n)}$ [Use extended GCD algorithm!] Public key is $PU=(n,e)$; Private key is $PR=(n,d)$	
Encryption of message $M \in \{0,,n-1\}$: $E(PU,M) = M^e \mod n$ Decryption of ciphertext $C \in \{0,,n-1\}$: $D(PR,C) = C^d \mod n$	Correctness - easy when $gcd(M,n)=1$: $D(PR, E(PU,M)) = (M^{e)d} \mod n$ $= M^{ed} \mod n$ $= M^{e(e(n)-1} \mod n$ $= (M^{e(e(n))} k \mod n$ = M Also works when $gcd(M,n)\neq 1$, but slightly harder to show

RSA Algorithm for Signatures

"Textbook algorithm" - not how it's really done

Key Generation:

Pick two large primes p and q Calculate $n=p^*q$ and $\phi(n)=(p-1)^*(q-1)$ Pick a random v such that $gcd(v, \phi(n))$ Compute $s = v^1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ [Use extended GCD algorithm!] Public key is PU=(n,v); Private key is PR=(n,s)

Signing message $M \in \{0,..,n-1\}$: $Sign(PR,M) = M^s \mod n$

Verification of signature $\sigma \in \{0,..,n-1\}$: Verify(PU, M, σ): Check if M = $\sigma^{v} \mod n$



